## Wiley, Rein & Fielding

**ORIGINAL** 

1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 719-7000

Fax: (202) 719-7049 www.wrf.com

Writer's Direct Dial (202) 719-7518

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

November 10, 1999

Magalie Roman Salas

Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

The Portals

445 12th Street, SW

Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

NOV 1 0 1999

Via Hand Delivery

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Communications, Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems,

**CC Docket No. 94-102** 

Dear Ms. Salas:

In accordance with Section 1.1206 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, notice is hereby given to an ex parte presentation regarding the above-captioned proceeding. On Wednesday, November 10, 1999, Dan Foley and Susan Wichmann of SBC Wireless and I held a meeting with Jim Schlichting, Nancy Boocker and Kris Monteith of the Wireless Bureau. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss cost recovery for E911 implementation. The attached material contains information discussed during the meeting.

In accordance with the Commission's rules, two copies of this letter are being provided for inclusion in the Commission's docket file. If you have any question or need any additional information, please feel free to call me at the number listed above.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher E. Guttman-McCabe

Attachments

cc:

Jim Schlichting Nancy Boocker Kris Monteith

No. of Copies rec'd

List ABCDE

NOU-29-1999 16:59

MIFCO

5644 P.02/03



COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
HOUSE OF DELEGATES
RICHMOND

HARRY J. PARRISH magg bond court managgag, virginia 201104327

FIFTIETH DISTRICT

COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS,
FINANCE (CO-CHAIR)
CORPORATIONS, INSUBANCE AND BANK
COMSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURC
LAGGE AND COMMERCE
NULLS
NULLS

November 9, 1999

Mr. William E. Kennard, Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

## Dear Chairman Kennard:

I have recently been informed by my contacts in the public safety and wireless provider communities that the FCC is considering removing the cost recovery requirement from your wireless E911 rules (Docket 94-102). I urge you not to do so.

Virginia has worked hard to implement them. The cost recovery portion was the basis for an alliance between the public safety community and the commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) providers. Virginia enacted legislation imposing a flat fee on all users of CMRS. Because it was competitively neutral and was dedicated to a specific purpose, the assessment was viewed more as a "user fee" than as a tax. It received broad support. Because the revenue was shared by the public safety answering points (PSAPs) and the CMRS community and was contingent upon deploying wireless E911, all parties were motivated to move quickly.

Virginia's legislation formed a state board, which has already approved PSAP and carrier cost recovery requests. The board had many issues to work through, including determining how claims would be made against the fund and the direct and reasonable E911 costs for both PSAPs and CMRS providers. I understand that some individuals think that Phase I of E911 would have been deployed sooner if the FCC had not required cost recovery for CMRS providers. However, because of the complexity of the issues facing Virginia just on the PSAP side, I do not believe that we would be ready to deploy 22 PSAPs this spring if we had not looked at a statewide solution that addresses the cost recovery requirement.

If the requirement for cost recovery is rescinded, our entire structure will be in jeopardy. The political pressure will be to rescind the existing cost recovery mechanism as part of a tax reduction package, leaving it to the local jurisdictions to fund E911 themselves. This will make it difficult for rural PSAPs, arguably those with the greatest need to raise the funds to staff and

MIFCO

5644 P.03/03

Mr. William E. Kennard November 9, 1999 Page two

receive wireless E911 calls. The state board would no longer be able to use the cost recovery "carrot" to draw PSAPs and the CMRS providers to the table to achieve compromise and consensus. A promising opportunity will have been lost.

We in Virginia have made a good faith effort to follow the guidelines set forth by the FCC. I know that many other states are considering legislation similar to ours. I urge you not to undo our efforts before you give them a chance to work.

If I may provide further insight into this issue, please do not hesitate to contact me at my legislative office at (703) 367-0505.

Sincerely.

Harry Parrish

50th House District

cc: Commissioner Susan Ness

Commissioner Harold W. Furchtgott-Roth

REX

Commissioner Michael K. Powell

Commissioner Gloria Tristani

Thomas J. Sugrue, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Chief

David Clarke, Mezzullo & McCandlish